UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		CASE NO
	Plaintiff(s),	
	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	unsel report that they have met a tipulation pursuant to Civil L.R	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties	agree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
□ ⟨Note: Part appreciably ADR phone	y more likely to meet their needs	NE) (ADR L.R. 5) ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is a than any other form of ADR must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for tule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties	referring the case to an ADI	by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated:		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		
		Attorney for Defendant

[RROPOSED	kORDER
-----------	---------------

- □ The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- ☐ The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

UNITED STATES

Samue B. Ormskag

JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."